

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,405	10/785,405 02/25/2004		Mario Gisbert Carrasco	100910.53239US	8358
23911	7590	03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
0110		RING LLP	NGUYEN, TUAN VAN		
P.O. BOX		ROPERTY GROUP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	GTON, DO	20044-4300	3731		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/785,405	CARRASCO, MARIO GISBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan V. Nguyen	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		·					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to for the following informalities: claim 1 recites limitation "protruding sheaft". It is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the phrase "OF THE TYPE" in line 2 renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 4. Referring to claims 2 and 3, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,405

Art Unit: 3731

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hsieh (U.S. 5,741,290).

Page 3

7. Referring to claims 1 and 2, Hsieh discloses (see Figs.1, 2, 3B, and 4) an eyebrow tattooing tool comprises a threaded bolt 22 (see Fig. 3B) that can perform shuttle movement by motor 6, wherein the threaded bolt 22 is passed through an opening or orifice of a prolongation or sleeve 1102, 1103 (see Fig. 2) located at the distal end of front casing 11 for coupling to the rear cap 31 and front cap 30; a needle 8, housing 30, and a disk 4. Here it is noted that Hsieh also disclose that rear cap 31 and front cap 30 and locking member 5 are integrally formed into a single piece 3A (see Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 33-55).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/785,405

Art Unit: 3731

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103a\*) as being unpatentable over Hsieh (U.S. 5,741,290) and further in view of Chou (U.S. 5,776,158) or Nguyen et al. (U.S. 5,944,700).
- 11. Referring to claim3, Hsieh discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the ridge. Chou discloses the finger grip portion14 has ridges (see Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by the applicant to use the ridges design, as disclosed by Chou, to incorporate into the locking cap portion, as disclosed by Hsieh because this will improve the gripping of the fingers during removing or assemble of the device. Furthermore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made by the applicant to use the ridge design to improve gripping of the fingers since this feature is well know.
- 12. Still referring to claim 3, Nguyen et al. disclose an insulin needle includes a hub 40, sleeve or skirt 54, ridges, protrusion 42, needle 50 wherein needle 50 is securely fixed to protrusion 42, and sleeve 43 (see Figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 14-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,405

Art Unit: 3731

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan V. Nguyen March 17, 2006

> ANHTŰANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

> > 3/20/06